

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Cuited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,962	02/27/2002	Daniel J. Woodruff	114183-007	2206	
7:	7590 09/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd, LLC P. O. Box 1135 Chicago, IL 60690-1135			VALENTINE, DONALD R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/084,962	WOODRUFF ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donald R. Valentine	1742
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re oly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te. cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	April 2004	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>17-35</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iwii iloili consideration.	
6) Claim(s) <u>17-35</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۵r	•
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		v the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		119(a)-(d) or (f).
		- No - No
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	ecewed in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.
	·	
Attachment(s)	-	
) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) Discrete Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LI Interview Sui	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 092104

Application/Control Number: 10/084,962 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 17-34 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Landau. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,962

Art Unit: 1742

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 17-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Landau.

Landau shows a system for electroplating a layer of material (copper) on a semiconductor wafer in which system there is an electrochemical cell with an anode (90), "a primary anode", a cathode contact (52) and a chamber (container body 42). (See col. 6, lines 41-50). The reference teaches an auxiliary electrode (84), which would appear to be similar to applicant's "secondary anode" which may be anodically polarized. See col. 7, lines 36-38; and col. 11, lines 13-49. The function of the auxiliary electrode appears to provide a variable current to the semiconductor wafer. See col. 11, lines 55-60. The electrochemical cell has a metallic solution disposed within it. A power source (49) is provided at which is "capable" of producing variable current by providing varying levels of voltage to the respective anodes. See col. 12, lines 2-14.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,962

Art Unit: 1742

As for claims 18, 20 the auxiliary electrodes may be rings and may be concentric. See col. 11, lines 50-55.

As for claim 18, the auxiliary electrodes are at least comprised of a first and second electrode.

As for claim 32, see Col. 7, lines 39-col. 11, lines 55-68 and col. 12, lines 1-14.

If not shown by the reference then the claims would be considered an obvious modification thereover because the reference configuration is adapted for current density control as well as is applicants' system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald R. Valentine whose telephone number is 571-272-1250. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 1742

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald R. Valentine Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

drv September 21, 2004